

PATENT

Attorney's Docket No.: 81862.P178

DECLARATION AND POWER OF ATTORNEY FOR PATENT APPLICATION

As a below named invento	r, I hereby declare that:			
My residence, post office a	address and citizenship	are as stated below, next to my	name.	
first, and joint inventor (if p for which a patent is sough	lural names are listed be at on the invention entitle	f only one name is listed below) elow) of the subject matter whic ed IN-BAND MANAGEMENT FOR	h is claim	ed and
the specification of which				
UI or	d hereto. on December 13, 2000 a nited States Application PCT International Appli nd was amended on	Number 09/738,807 cation Number		
		(if applicable)		
		the contents of the above-iden y any amendment referred to al		
I acknowledge the duty to defined in Title 37, Code of		known to me to be material to pa ection 1.56.	atentability	y as
foreign application(s) for pa	atent or inventor's certific patent or inventor's certi	5, United States Code, Section cate listed below and have also ficate having a filing date before	identified	below
Prior Foreign Application(s)		Priorit <u>Claim</u>	
Number	Country	Day/Month/Year Filed	Yes	No
Number	Country	Day/Month/Year Filed	Yes	No
Number	Country	Day/Month/Year Filed	Yes	No
I hereby claim the benefit uprovisional application(s) lis		ates Code, Section 119(e) of an	y United S	States
Application Number	Filing Date			
Application Number	Filing Date			

I hereby claim the benefit under Title 35, United States Code, Section 120 of any United States application(s) listed below and, insofar as the subject matter of each of the claims of this application is not disclosed in the prior United States application in the manner provided by the first paragraph of Title 35, United States Code, Section 112, I acknowledge the duty to disclose all information known to me to be material to patentability as defined in Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, Section 1.56 which became available between the filing date of the prior application and the national or PCT international filing date of this application:

Application Number	Filing Date	Status patented, pending, abandoned
Application Number	Filing Date	Status patented, pending, abandoned
part of this document) as my res	spective patent attorneys prosecute this application a	(which is incorporated by reference and a and patent agents, with full power of and to transact all business in the Patent
Send correspondence toL	ester J. Vincent me of Attorney or Agent	, BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR &
		s Angeles, California 90025 and direct
I hereby declare that all stater statements made on informat statements were made with the are punishable by fine or important.	. Vincent , (f Attorney or Agent) ments made herein of m ion and belief are believ he knowledge that willfu risonment, or both, unde	y own knowledge are true and that all ed to be true; and further that these I false statements and the like so made er Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United by jeopardize the validity of the
I hereby declare that all stater statements made on informat statements were made with the are punishable by fine or impostates Code and that such with the statements were made with the states code and that such with the states code and that such with the states code and that such with the states code and	. Vincent , (f Attorney or Agent) ments made herein of miles are believed that willfur isonment, or both, under the false statements maked thereon.	y own knowledge are true and that all ed to be true; and further that these I false statements and the like so made er Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United
Lester J (Name of Sole/First Inventor	. Vincent , (f Attorney or Agent) , (f Attorney or Agent) ments made herein of mion and belief are believed the knowledge that willfur risonment, or both, under the false statements maked thereon.	y own knowledge are true and that all ed to be true; and further that these I false statements and the like so made er Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United by jeopardize the validity of the
Lester J (Name of Sole/First Inventor	. Vincent , (f Attorney or Agent) , (f Attorney or Agent) ments made herein of mion and belief are believed the knowledge that willfur risonment, or both, under the false statements maked thereon.	y own knowledge are true and that all ed to be true; and further that these I false statements and the like so made er Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United by jeopardize the validity of the





William E. Alford, Reg. No. 37,764; Farzad E. Amini, Reg. No. P42,261; Aloysius T. C. AuYeung, Reg. No. 35,432; William Thomas Babbitt, Reg. No. 39,591; Carol F. Barry, Reg. No. 41,600; Jordan Michael Becker, Reg. No. 39,602; Lisa N. Benado, Reg. No. 39,995; Bradley J. Bereznak, Reg. No. 33,474; Michael A. Bernadicou, Reg. No. 35,934; Roger W. Blakely, Jr., Reg. No. 25,831; R. Alan Burnett, Reg. No. 46.149; Gregory D. Caldwell, Reg. No. 39,926; Andrew C. Chen, Reg. No. 43,544; Thomas M. Coester, Reg. No. 39,637; Donna Jo Coningsby, Reg. No. 41,684; Florin Corie, Reg. No. 46,244; Dennis M. deGuzman, Reg. No. 41,702; Stephen M. De Klerk, Reg. No. P46,503; Michael Anthony DeSanctis, Reg. No. 39,957; Daniel M. De Vos, Reg. No. 37,813; Robert Andrew Diehl, Reg. No. 40,992; Sanjeet Dutta, Reg. No. P46,145; Matthew C. Fagan, Reg. No. 37,542; Tarek N. Fahmi, Reg. No. 41,402; George Fountain, Reg. No. 37,374; Paramita Ghosh, Reg. No. 42,806; James Y. Go, Reg. No. 40,621; James A. Henry, Reg. No. 41,064; Libby N. Ho, Reg. No. P46,774; Willmore F. Holbrow III, Reg. No. P41,845; Sheryl Sue Holloway, Reg. No. 37,850; George W Hoover II, Reg. No. 32,992; Eric S. Hyman, Reg. No. 30,139; William W. Kidd, Reg. No. 31,772; Sang Hui Kim, Reg. No. 40,450; Walter T. Kim, Reg. No. 42,731; Eric T. King, Reg. No. 44,188; Erica W. Kuo, Reg. No. 42,775; George Brian Leavell, Reg. No. 45,436; Kurt P. Leyendecker, Reg. No. 42,799; Gordon R. Lindeen III, Reg. No. 33,192; Jan Carol Little, Reg. No. 41,181; Joseph Lutz, Reg. No. 43,765; Michael J. Mallie, Reg. No. 36,591; Andre L. Marais, under 37 C.F.R. § 10.9(b); Paul A. Mendonsa, Reg. No. 42,879; Clive D. Menezes, Reg. No. 45,493; Chun M. Ng, Reg. No. 36,878; Thien T. Nguyen, Reg. No. 43,835; Thinh V. Nguyen, Reg. No. 42,034; Dennis A. Nicholls, Reg. No. 42,036; Daniel E. Ovanezian, Reg. No. 41,236; Kenneth B. Paley, Reg. No. 38,989; Marina Portnova, Reg. No. P45,750; William F. Ryann, Reg. 44,313; James H. Salter, Reg. No. 35,668; William W. Schaal, Reg. No. 39,018; James C. Scheller, Reg. No. 31,195; Jeffrey Sam Smith, Reg. No. 39,377; Maria McCormack Sobrino, Reg. No. 31,639; Stanley W. Sokoloff, Reg. No. 25,128; Judith A. Szepesi, Reg. No. 39,393; Vincent P. Tassinari, Reg. No. 42,179; Edwin H. Taylor, Reg. No. 25,129; John F. Travis, Reg. No. 43,203; Joseph A. Twarowski, Reg. No. 42,191; Tom Van Zandt, Reg. No. 43,219; Lester J. Vincent, Reg. No. 31,460; Glenn E. Von Tersch, Reg. No. 41,364; John Patrick Ward, Reg. No. 40,216; Mark L. Watson, Reg. No. P46,322; Thomas C. Webster, Reg. No. P46.154; Steven D. Yates, Reg. No. 42.242; and Norman Zafman, Reg. No. 26,250; my patent attorneys, and Firasat Ali, Reg. No. 45,715; and Justin M. Dillon, Reg. No. 42,486; my patent agents, of BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP, with offices located at 12400 Wilshire Boulevard, 7th Floor, Los Angeles, California 90025, telephone (310) 207-3800, and James R. Thein, Reg. No. 31,710, my patent attorney with full power of substitution and revocation, to prosecute this application and to transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected herewith.





Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, Section 1.56 Duty to Disclose Information Material to Patentability

- (a) A patent by its very nature is affected with a public interest. The public interest is best served. and the most effective patent examination occurs when, at the time an application is being examined, the Office is aware of and evaluates the teachings of all information material to patentability. Each individual associated with the filing and prosecution of a patent application has a duty of candor and good faith in dealing with the Office, which includes a duty to disclose to the Office all information known to that individual to be material to patentability as defined in this section. The duty to disclosure information exists with respect to each pending claim until the claim is cancelled or withdrawn from consideration, or the application becomes abandoned. Information material to the patentability of a claim that is cancelled or withdrawn from consideration need not be submitted if the information is not material to the patentability of any claim remaining under consideration in the application. There is no duty to submit information which is not material to the patentability of any existing claim. The duty to disclosure all information known to be material to patentability is deemed to be satisfied if all information known to be material to patentability of any claim issued in a patent was cited by the Office or submitted to the Office in the manner prescribed by §§1.97(b)-(d) and 1.98. However, no patent will be granted on an application in connection with which fraud on the Office was practiced or attempted or the duty of disclosure was violated through bad faith or intentional misconduct. The Office encourages applicants to carefully examine:
 - (1) Prior art cited in search reports of a foreign patent office in a counterpart application, and
- (2) The closest information over which individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application believe any pending claim patentably defines, to make sure that any material information contained therein is disclosed to the Office.
- (b) Under this section, information is material to patentability when it is not cumulative to information already of record or being made or record in the application, and
- (1) It establishes, by itself or in combination with other information, a prima facie case of unpatentability of a claim; or
 - (2) It refutes, or is inconsistent with, a position the applicant takes in:
 - (i) Opposing an argument of unpatentability relied on by the Office, or
 - (ii) Asserting an argument of patentability.

A prima facie case of unpatentability is established when the information compels a conclusion that a claim is unpatentable under the preponderance of evidence, burden-of-proof standard, giving each term in the claim its broadest reasonable construction consistent with the specification, and before any consideration is given to evidence which may be submitted in an attempt to establish a contrary conclusion of patentability.

- (c) Individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application within the meaning of this section are:
 - (1) Each inventor named in the application;
 - (2) Each attorney or agent who prepares or prosecutes the application; and
- (3) Every other person who is substantively involved in the preparation or prosecution of the application and who is associated with the inventor, with the assignee or with anyone to whom there is an obligation to assign the application.
- (d) Individuals other than the attorney, agent or inventor may comply with this section by disclosing information to the attorney, agent, or inventor.